

IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 92 OF 2019

YASHPAL A. RAIKAR.,

... Petitioner

Versus

MARIADAS JOSE COUNTO AND ANR.,

... Respondents

Adv. Virendra Parsekar for the Petitioner.

Adv. Nikhil G. Dhumatker for Respondent nos.1 & 2

Coram:- C. V. BHADANG, J.

Date:- 13th March 2019

P.C.:

Heard for some time.

2. However, in view of the decision of the Supreme Court in the case of SBP & CO Vs. PATEL ENGINEERING LTD. AND ANOTHER (2005) 8 SCC 618 and in particular paragraphs 45, 46 and 47 (vi) thereof, I decline to entertain the petition, which is accordingly dismissed, with no order as to costs.

3. Needless to mention that it will be open to the petitioner to raise appropriate contentions in so far as the impugned order is concerned in a challenge under section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act to the final award, if it is adverse to the petitioner.

C. V. BHADANG, J.

ap/-