

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 25 OF 2019

MAKRAND NAGIN PATIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr. Y.K. Bobade, Advocate for petitioner
Mr. S.N. Kendre, AGP for respondent-State
...

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.
DATE : 09-01-2019

ORDER :

1. Heard learned counsel for petitioner.
2. After hearing learned counsel for petitioner and upon perusal of the impugned order, it appears that the same is *ad-interim* injunction.
3. Learned counsel for petitioner contends that such order could not have been passed without hearing petitioner and when petitioner had filed *caveat*.
4. Looking at the nature of order passed, it appears to be expedient that respondent no. 2 proceeds with the matter itself as early as possible, preferably within a period of eight (8) weeks

from the date of receipt of writ of this order including order on interim relief application, giving opportunity to parties.

5. Writ petition accordingly is disposed of.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE

arp/