

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

40 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.14482 OF 2019
IN FA/4092/2017

THE NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY LTD.
VERSUS
ARUN SOMA PATIL AND ANOTHER

...

CORAM: H.M.BHOSALE
REGISTRAR (JUDL)

DATED: 10/12/2019

1. Having considered the Bailiff report, it transpires that respondent no.2 is not traceable on the given address. The address is incomplete and, therefore, the Bailiff is unable to serve the notice.

2. In these circumstances, it would just and proper to allow the substituted service under Order 5 Rule 20 of Civil Procedure Code. In turn, reissue notice to respondent no.2 in terms of prayer, subject to payment of requisite charges.

REGISTRAR (JUDL)