

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

41 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.14483 OF 2019
IN FA/4079/2017

UNITED INDIA INSURANCE CO LTD., THR ITS ADMINISTRATIVE
OFFICER, M.PVIRAT (T.P H
VERSUS

KIRAN BABURAO PATIL AND ORS

...

Advocate present.

CORAM: H.M.BHOSALE
REGISTRAR (JUDL)

DATED: 10/12/2019

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the appellant. Having considered the Bailiff report, it transpires that the Bailiff concerned is unable to trace out the address of respondent nos.2 and 3 on the basis of available address on record. Consequently, the learned advocate sought substituted service in terms of Order 5 Rule 20 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

2. I am satisfied with the grounds set out in the application. It would be just and proper to allow the substituted service. In turn, reissue notices to respondent nos.2 and 3 as prayed in the prayer clause on payment of requisite charges.

REGISTRAR (JUDL)