

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.14494 OF 2019

Shesherao Rakhmaji Kale.

Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others.

Respondents

...

Mr. J.M. Murkute, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Patil, A.G.P. for respondent /State.

...

CORAM : **S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
AVINASH G. GHAROTE, JJ.**

DATE : 3 December 2019.

ORDER :-

. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed an application with the Collector for regularization of the sale deed, however, Tahasildar has issued notice for hearing. According to learned Advocate, the Tahasildar does not have any jurisdiction to entertain the proceedings of regularization.

2. The petitioner has himself moved the Authority for regularization of the sale deed. According to the petitioner, if Tahasildar does not have jurisdiction, the petitioner may

bring it to the notice of the Tahasildar by referring to appropriate provisions. Naturally Tahasildar will take into consideration all the said aspects.

3. Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

(AVINASH G. GHAROTE, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)

VD_Dhirde