

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

24 WRIT PETITION NO.14502 OF 2019

Suresh Macchindra Lad.

Petitioner

Versus

M.S.R.T.C. Through Dy. Controlling
Committee.

Respondents

...

Mr. P.P. Khandagale Patil, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. A.D. Wange, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

...

CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
AVINASH G. GHAROTE, JJ.

DATE : 3 December 2019.

ORDER :-

. We have heard Mr. Khandagale Patil, learned Advocate for the petitioner. Learned Advocate submits that the show-cause notice is based on false facts. Mr. Wange, learned Counsel appears for respondent Nos.1 and 2 and submits that reply filed by the petitioner would be considered on its own merits by the Disciplinary Authority.

2. The petitioner is assailing the show-cause notice. Inquiry Officer has also held the petitioner guilty. Disciplinary Authority has issued show-cause notice. Reply given by the

petitioner to the show-cause notice will have to be considered by the Disciplinary Authority before taking the decision. If any decision is taken adverse to the interest of the petitioner, the petitioner has remedy available.

3. In view of that, the petition is disposed of. No costs.

(AVINASH G. GHAROTE, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)

VD_Dhirde