

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 6146 OF 2019

ANURADHA DATTATRAYA WADEKAR
VERSUS
THE COMMISSIONER OFFICE OF COMMISSIONERATE SOCIAL
WELFARE PUNE AND OTHERS

...
Mr. Vinod P. Patil, Advocate for petitioner
Mr. G.O. Wattamwar, AGP for respondent - State
...

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH, J.
DATE : 11-12-2019

ORDER :

1. Heard learned counsel for petitioner. The factual position about petitioner having worked as "*Gruhapal*" (गृहपाल) for the period from 13-04-1999 to 12-05-1999 and from 14-05-1999 to 12-06-1999 and not beyond on contract basis, appears to be indisputable. It is not a case wherein it can be said that petitioner has continuously worked for 240 days in an year. Two courts *hitherto* have converged on this factual position.

2. In the circumstances, decisions by labour court as well as industrial court do not appear to be in any way erroneous.

3. Writ petition is therefore not entertained and is rejected.

4. This rejection, of course, would not preclude petitioner from seeking employment in future, if she is eligible.

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE

arp/