

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.231 OF 2019

**RAVINDRA BHALCHANDRA DEVKATE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS**

Mr. K.D.Jadhav, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. V.S.Badakh, AGP for the respondent/State.

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE
& S.M.GAVHANE, JJ.**

DATED : 09.01.2019

P.C. :-

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. On perusal of the matter placed on record it reveals that the petitioner initiated proceeding against respondent Nos.5 and 6 seeking the disqualification of the respective respondents. The submission is that the proceedings reached up to this Court. It is then submitted by the learned counsel that the issue is no more res-integra and there is an authority by the Hon'ble Apex Court on the issue. The learned counsel then submitted that the petitioner submitted representations to the authorities, namely, the Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad on 13.11.2018 and to the District Collector, Jalna on 24.09.2018.
3. It is further submission of the learned counsel that though the petitioner is approaching the authority time and again, these representations are still pending before the authorities. This being the limited grievance of the petitioner, in our opinion, the petition itself can be disposed of by issuing directions to the District

Collector, Jalna as well the Chief Executive Officer, Zillha Parishad, Jalna to decide the representations dated 24.09.2018 and 13.11.2018 respectively in needless to state that on the merits of the representations, we further direct the petitioner that the petitioner not to indulge in any pressure tactics on the authorities.

4. The copies of representations placed on record and more particularly the copy of representations to the Collector show that, the petitioner is putting a pressure on the authority by saying that if the action is not immediately taken the petitioner may adopt the exercise of the fast unto death.

5. We further make it clear that if the petitioner is approaching the authority, with this threat time and again the authorities are also at liberty to initiate appropriate action against the petitioner.

6. With these directions the petition is disposed of.

[S.M.GAVHANE, J.]

[PRASANNA B. VARALE, J.]