

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 563 OF 2017

RUKHMIN @ VIMAL SARJERAO KEWADKAR
VERSUS
THE UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

...
Mr. S.B. Bhosale, Advocate for petitioner
Mr. S.N. Kendre, AGP for respondent - State
Mr. A.B. Dhongade, Standing Counsel for respondents no. 1 and 3
- Union of India
Mr. S.G. Chapalgaonkar, Advocate for respondent no. 5
...

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH &
S.M. GAVHANE, JJ.

DATE : 01-07-2019

ORDER :

1. Learned counsel for petitioner, on instructions, states that with the subsequent developments, intention underlying the writ petition gets worked out and it is not necessary to prosecute the writ petition any further. He, thus, requests to dispose of the petition accordingly.

2. In view of aforesaid statement, writ petition is disposed of.

[S.M. GAVHANE]
JUDGE

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE

arp/