

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

916. WRIT PETITION NO. 14324 OF 2019

Anand Tukaram Ugale and others

...Petitioners

VERSUS

Maharashtra Industrial Development
Corporation and others

...Respondents

Mr. Swapnil Joshi, Adv., h/f. J.P. Legal Associates for petitioner
Mr. S.S. Dande, Advocate for respondents No. 1 to 3

CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA

AND

AVINASH G. GHAROTE, JJ.

DATE : 27th November, 2019

PER COURT :

1. We have heard Mr. Joshi, learned Counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Dande, learned Counsel for respondents No. 1 to 3.

2. We are not inclined to issue specific direction in the matter. The petitioner has alternate remedy available at his disposal. The M.I.D.C. has already issued letter to respondent No.4. It is for the Authorities to take action in accordance with law to proceed further. The parties may prosecute their complaints with

the authorities or before such other Forum.

3. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

(AVINASH G. GHAROTE)
JUDGE

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE

Madkar