

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

72 WRIT PETITION NO.13920 OF 2018

SIDHARTH PRATISTHAN RAJNAGAR RAJGRUHA THROUGH
PRESIDENT ..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER ..RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. Chandrakant V. Thombre, Advocate for the
Petitioner.

Mr. S. G. Karlekar, AGP for Respondents-State.

Mr. S. G. Chapalgaonkar, Advocate for Respondent
No.2.

...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATED : 21st AUGUST, 2019.

PER COURT:-

1. We have heard Mr. Thombre, learned counsel
for the petitioner.

2. According to the learned counsel,
petitioner is minority institution. The proposal
was accepted by the University. The petitioner had
paid the fees and also subsequently the inspection
is not carried out. The same is illegal.

3. It appears from the affidavit filed by the
State that the location where the petitioner had
applied does not find place in the perspective
plan.

4. Section 109 of Maharashtra Public Universities Act, 2018 is abundantly clear "no application for opening new college or institution on higher learning, which is not in conformity with the perspective plan prepared under Section 107 shall be considered".

5. In the light of above, no relief can be granted to the petitioner. Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

(MANGESH S. PATIL)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE