

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

13 WRIT PETITION NO.13836 OF 2019

RAJESH GANPATRAO GAJJEWAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

WITH

16 WRIT PETITION NO.13847 OF 2019

RANGRAO PARASRAM GAIKWAD
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

....

WITH

32 WRIT PETITION NO.13879 OF 2019

RAMESH SURYAKANTHRAO KARMUNGIKAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Shri Mukund R. Kulkarni
AGP for Respondents – State : Smt A.V. Gondhalekar, Shri S.G.
Sangle, Ms P.V. Diggikar, in respective matters.
Advocate for Respondent Nos.4 & 5 : Shri S.B. Pulkundwar

...

**CORAM: S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
AVINASH G. GHAROTE, JJ.**

DATE : NOVEMBER 19, 2019

PER COURT : -

1. We have heard Mr. Kulkarni, the learned Advocate for the petitioners. The ld. Advocate submits that, the petitioners have acted in good faith and *bona fidely* in accordance with the executive instructions and the rules. There was no occasion for the respondents

to suspend the services of the petitioners. The petitioners are ready to face the inquiry, however the suspension is not to be resorted to.

2. We have heard Shri. Pulkundwar, the learned counsel for respondent Nos.4 & 5.

3. The departmental enquiry has already been initiated against the petitioners. The charge-sheet is also issued to the petitioners. The petitioners have also filed say as per their contentions.

4. In light of that we need not interfere with the order of suspension. Needless to state the authority shall proceed with the enquiry and endeavour to complete it as expeditiously as possible.

5. Writ Petitions are accordingly disposed of. No costs.

[AVINASH G. GHAROTE]
JUDGE

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA]
JUDGE

.....