

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

902 CONTEMPT PETITION NO.748 OF 2019
IN CRI. WP/1751/2017

KANIF MARUTI MANE
VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA, THROUGH ISHU SHIDU, THE
SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, AHMEDNAGAR AND ORS.

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Dnyaneshwar A. Bide
AGP for Respondents State : Mr.S.P.Sonpawale

...
CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE & ANIL S. KILOR, JJ.
Date: November 14, 2019

...
PER COURT :-

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petition is presented in this Court on a grievance that the directions of this Court to the respondent Authorities i.e. Police Authorities to file charge-sheet expeditiously was not complied with, post presenting the petition in this Court, it is informed to the petitioner that in view of the directions of this Court, the charge-sheet is filed in the competent Court of law. As such, the learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, prayed for withdrawal of the petition.

2. Accepting the statement of the learned counsel as well as taking on record the copy of the communication received by the petitioner and marked as 'X' for identification, petition is allowed to be withdrawn.

3. Petition is disposed of as withdrawn.

(ANIL S. KILOR, J.)

(PRASANNA B. VARALE, J.)