

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY.
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

WRIT PETITION NO. 14013 OF 2018

Mo. Mustak Mustafa Khan

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.... Respondents

.....
Mr R. S. Sadaphule, Advocate for the petitioner
Ms Preeti Diggikar, AGP for respondent/State
.....

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
A. M. DHAVAL, JJ.**

DATE : 04TH FEBRUARY, 2019

ORAL ORDER:

. We have heard leaned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for respondent so also learned Assistant Government Pleader.

2. The petitioner is challenging the sale deed and the covenants in the sale deed with regard to the compensation so also about the nature of the land.

3. The fact that, the sale deed is executed is not disputed.

4. If the petitioner wants to get the sale deed set aside or wants to contend that some of the terms of the sale deed are incorrect, it is for the petitioner to avail the remedy before the Civil Court as may be permissible under the law.

5. In the light of that, the writ petition is disposed of. The petitioner may avail the remedy as may be permissible under the law. All the contentions of the respective parties are kept open. No costs.

[A. M. DHAVALA]
JUDGE

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA]
JUDGE

Punde