

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 11792 OF 2016

Prakash Babasaheb Kale

.. Petitioner

Versus

Maharashtra State Electricity
Transmission Company Ltd. Through
Its Managing Director and another

.. Respondents

Shri S. G. Chapalgaonkar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri S. G. Karlekar, A.G.P. for the Respondent No. 1.

Shri Devdatt P. Palodkar, Advocate for the Respondent No. 2.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
A. M. DHAVAL, JJ.**

DATE : 22ND FEBRUARY, 2019.

FINAL ORDER :

. Mr. Palodkar, the learned advocate for the respondent No. 2 submits that, the petitioner has alternate remedy of filing departmental appeal, so also has remedy before the Labour Court.

2. In the light of that, we are not inclined to consider the matter on merits. The writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail alternate remedy. All contentions of respective parties are kept open. In case proceedings are taken

up by the petitioner, then the forum where said proceedings would be filed shall consider the time spent in prosecuting present writ petition. No costs.

[A. M. DHAVALA, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/Feb. 19