

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

916 WRIT PETITION NO. 13395 OF 2019

Girish Subhash Patil

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others

.. Respondents

Mr. C. R. Thorat, Advocate for Petitioner.

Mr. A. P. Basarkar, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

Mr. Maheshkumar S. Sonawane, Advocate for Respondent No. 3

920 WRIT PETITION NO. 13399 OF 2019

Nandkishor Pandurang Kadam

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others

.. Respondents

Mr. C. R. Thorat, Advocate for Petitioner.

Mr. A. P. Basarkar, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

Mr. Maheshkumar S. Sonawane, Advocate for Respondent No. 3

928 WRIT PETITION NO. 13419 OF 2019

Bhushan Shantaram Sonawane

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others

.. Respondents

Mr. C. R. Thorat, Advocate for Petitioner.

Mrs. P. V. Diggikar, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

Mr. Maheshkumar S. Sonawane, Advocate for Respondent No. 3

929 WRIT PETITION NO. 13420 OF 2019

Dipak Shriram Sonawane .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others .. Respondents

Mr. C. R. Thorat, Advocate for Petitioner.

Mrs. P. V. Diggikar, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

Mr. Maheshkumar S. Sonawane, Advocate for Respondent No. 3

930 WRIT PETITION NO. 13421 OF 2019

Bashir Kabiruddin Pinjari .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others .. Respondents

Mr. C. R. Thorat, Advocate for Petitioner.

Mrs. P. V. Diggikar, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

Mr. Maheshkumar S. Sonawane, Advocate for Respondent No. 3

931 WRIT PETITION NO. 13422 OF 2019

Uday Ramkrishna Patil .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others .. Respondents

Mr. C. R. Thorat, Advocate for Petitioner.

Mrs. P. V. Diggikar, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

Mr. Maheshkumar S. Sonawane, Advocate for Respondent No. 3

932 WRIT PETITION NO. 13423 OF 2019

Parag Dhanraj Thakre .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others .. Respondents

Mr. C. R. Thorat, Advocate for Petitioner.
Mr. S. B. Yawalkar, Addl. G. P for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
Mr. Maheshkumar S. Sonawane, Advocate for Respondent No. 3

933 WRIT PETITION NO. 13424 OF 2019

Kalpesh Pandit Choudhari .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others .. Respondents

Mr. C. R. Thorat, Advocate for Petitioner.
Mr. S. G. Karlekar, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
Mr. Maheshkumar S. Sonawane, Advocate for Respondent No. 3

934 WRIT PETITION NO. 13425 OF 2019

Gokul Walmik Nikam .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others .. Respondents

Mr. C. R. Thorat, Advocate for Petitioner.
Mr. S. B. Pulkundwar, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
Mr. Maheshkumar S. Sonawane, Advocate for Respondent No. 3

935 WRIT PETITION NO. 13426 OF 2019

Bhushan Bhimrao Bachhav .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others .. Respondents

Mr. C. R. Thorat, Advocate for Petitioner.
Mr. V. M. Kagne, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.
Mr. Maheshkumar S. Sonawane, Advocate for Respondent No. 3

936 WRIT PETITION NO. 13427 OF 2019

Amol Balasaheb Patil

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others

.. Respondents

Mr. C. R. Thorat, Advocate for Petitioner.

Mr. P. G. Borade, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

Mr. Maheshkumar S. Sonawane, Advocate for Respondent No. 3

937 WRIT PETITION NO. 13428 OF 2019

Bhushan Indrasing Rajput

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others

.. Respondents

Mr. C. R. Thorat, Advocate for Petitioner.

Mr. S. P. Tiwari, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

Mr. Maheshkumar S. Sonawane, Advocate for Respondent No. 3

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
AVINASH G. GHAROTE, JJ.****DATED : 06th NOVEMBER, 2019.****PER COURT:-**

1. We have heard Mr. Thorat, learned counsel for the petitioners, Mr. Sonawane, learned counsel for Zilla Parishad and learned AGP.
2. The petitioners seek benefit of Government Resolution dated 11.07.2000. According to the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners have worked for 10 years and more in tribal area. Transfer is being effectuated. When the transfer is to be effectuated, they are entitled for the post as per their choice as per the Government

Resolution dated 11.07.2000. However, the petitioners are not posted as per their choice. The applications have been given by the petitioners to the Chief Executive Officer, however the same are not considered.

3. Mr. Sonawane, learned counsel for respondent No. 3 submits that the posting is given as per the availability of the vacancies.

4. Naturally, unless there is vacancy available, the posting cannot be given. The posting is to be given at the available vacant posts.

5. The petitioners have already moved the representations to the Chief Executive Officer. The Chief Executive Officer shall consider the representations of the petitioners in the light of the policy of the State Government so also the Government Resolution dated 11.07.2000 and take decision upon the representations expeditiously and preferably within a period of one (01) month from today.

6. Writ petitions accordingly are disposed of. No costs.

(AVINASH G. GHAROTE)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE

PS.B.