

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**1038 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.3565 OF 2014
IN RC/997/2013**

RAJARAM SAKHARAM PATIL DIED THRO. L.RS. KRISHNA RAJARAM PATIL
AND OTHERS

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Applicants : Ms. Nima R. Suryawanshi

AGP for Respondents/State : Mr. S.P. Deshmukh

...

**1039 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.3566 OF 2014
IN RC/999/2013**

NARAYAN MAHADU CHOUDHARI

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Ms. Nima R. Suryawanshi

AGP for Respondents/State : Mr. R.B. Bagul

...

**1040 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.3567 OF 2014
IN RC/936/2013**

SONUBAI BHALCHANDRA SONAR DIED THRO. L.RS. TRAMBAK
BHALCHANDRA SONAR AND OTHERS

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Applicants : Ms. Nima Suryawanshi

AGP for Respondents/State : Mr. A.M. Phule

...

**1041 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.16206 OF 2016
IN RC/1466/2014**

ASHOK BHATA PATIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Ms. Nima R Suryawanshi
AGP for Respondents/State : Mr. S.P. Deshmukh

...

**1042 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.9159 OF 2018
IN RC/1459/2014 WITH CA/9160/2018 IN RC/1458/2014 WITH
CA/9161/2018 IN RC/1468/2014 WITH CA/9162/2018 IN RC/1467/2014
WITH CA/9163/2018 IN RC/1469/2014 WITH CA/9164/2018 IN
RC/1464/2014 WITH CA/9165/2018 IN RC/1463/2014 WITH
CA/9166/2018 IN RC/1462/2014 WITH CA/9167/2018 IN RC/1461/2014
WITH CA/9168/2018 IN RC/1460/2014 WITH CA/9169/2018 IN
RC/1465/2014 WITH CA/9170/2018 IN RC/1457/2014**

BARKU MAHIPAT CHAUDHARY
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

...

Advocate for Applicant : Ms. Nima Suryawanshi
AGP for Respondents/State : Mr. R.B. Bagul
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mrs. Vaishali D. Jadhav

...

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.

DATE : 4th OCTOBER, 2019.

ORDER :

. Issue notice to the respondents. Learned AGP waives notice for respondents-State and Special Land Acquisition Officer. Learned Advocate Mrs. Vaishali Jadhav waives notice for respondent No.3.

2. Present applications have been filed for condoning the delay in filing restoration applications and also the prayer of restoration of the appeals which came to be dismissed for non removal of office objections. In fact, when the impugned order was passed by learned Registrar (Judicial) refusing the registration for non removal of objections, the respondents were never served. Now, the applicants have come with the case that the learned Advocate representing the applicants, due to over sight, remained absent and did not remove the office objections. When the advocate is blaming herself, the applicants shall not suffer. Hence, the delay stands condoned.

3. Civil Applications stand allowed.

4. First Appeals stand restored with a condition that the office objections should be removed on or before 08.11.2019.

5. In case of failure on the part of the applicants to remove the office objections within the stipulated period, it shall be treated that again the registration is refused automatically.

6. Appellants in all these cases to file an undertaking that they will not claim interest for the period of delay, in case of success to their

respective appeals. Such undertaking should be filed on or before 08.11.2019.

(SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.)

SCM