

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 13211 OF 2019

Naresh Madanlal Gupta

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Others

.. Respondents

Mr. Uttam L. Telgaonkar, Advocate for Petitioner.

Mr. S. B. Yawalkar, Addl. G. P. for Respondent No. 1.

Mr. R. K. Ingole, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
AVINASH G. GHAROTE, JJ.**

DATED : 04th NOVEMBER, 2019.

PER COURT:-

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner.
2. Mr. Ingole, learned Counsel for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 submits that the Municipal Corporation is in process of preparing the maps and designs. As and when the maps and designs are prepared, the same would be handed over to the petitioner on petitioner paying the necessary charges.
3. The said statement is accepted.
4. As far as the contention of the petitioner about allotment of the shop is concerned, the petitioner may make representation to the Municipal Corporation, which would be considered by the Municipal Corporation on its own merits at the relevant time.
5. Writ petition accordingly is disposed of. No costs.

**(AVINASH G. GHAROTE)
JUDGE**

**(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE**

PS.B.