

vdk

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 12761 OF 2017**

Hemant s/o Ravindra Thakur

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondents

.....

Mr. Anil S. Golegaonkar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. S. N. Kendre, Assistant Government Pleader for respondents  
no. 1 and 2

Mr. Santosh G. Chapalgaonkar, Advocate for respondents no. 3  
and 4

.....

**[CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH AND**  
**SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, JJ.]**

**DATE : 8<sup>th</sup> November, 2019**

**ORDER :**

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. The petitioner had been appointed in 2015 with respect to the caste which he claims belong to 'Thakur - Scheduled Tribe'. It appears that the undertaking of submission of caste certificate as well as validity certificate had been given by the petitioner.
3. Since the undertaking given by the petitioner was not complied with, notice ensued by respondent no. 3 giving rise to present writ petition. There is no dispute on that the caste

certificate of petitioner had been issued by the district supply officer, Nandurbar.

4. While notice had been issued by respondent no. 3 on 11<sup>th</sup> October, 2017, interest of the petitioner had been protected in present writ petition under order dated 27<sup>th</sup> October, 2017, directing respondents no. 3 and 4 not to take adverse/coercive action against petitioner.

5. In the circumstances, it would be expedient to direct respondent no. 2 - scrutiny committee, Nandurbar to proceed with and decide on the validity of pending caste claim of petitioner expeditiously, preferably within a period of six (6) months from the date of receipt of writ of order of this court.

6. Interim relief as operating hitherto, would continue till decision by the competent authority.

7. With aforesaid directions, writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

**[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]**  
**JUDGE**

**[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH]**  
**JUDGE**