

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO.939 OF 2018
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.1918 OF 2018**

Ratan s/o Anandrao Suradkar
Age: 57 years, Occ: Service,
R/o. Newas & Dist. Ahmednagar
Presently as
Supply Inspecting Officer
Collector office, Nandurbar

PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra,
through its Secretary,
Revenue and Forest Department
Mantralaya, Mumbai
2. Rajaram Mane (present Commissioner)
Age: Major, Occ: Service (commissioner),
The Divisional Commissioner,
Nashik, Dist. Nashik
(Revenue Branch)
3. Mr Eknath Dhavale (old commissioner)
Age: Major, Occ: Service (Commissioner),
The Divisional Commissioner,
Nashik, Dist. Nashik
(Revenue Branch)
Presently working as,
Secretary, Water Conservation
and EGS Department Mantralaya,
Madam Cama Road Hutatma Rajguru Square,
Nariman Point Mumbai

4. Rahul Diwedi (present collector)
Age: Major, Occ: Service (collector)
The Collector, Ahmednagar
Dist. Ahmednagar
5. Mr Anil Kavde (old collector)
Age: Major, Occ: Service (collector)
The Collector, Ahmednagar
Dist. Ahmednagar
Presently working as
Inspector General of Registration
Maharashtra State Pune,
New Administrative Building
Ground Floor, Opposite Legislative
Building, Pune-411001
6. Tahsil Office (Through Tahsildar)
Newasa, District Ahmednagar
7. Tahsil Office (Through Tahsildar)
Akoloe, Taluka Akole,
District Ahmednagar

RESPONDENTS

Mr M.R. Wagh, Advocate for petitioner;
Ms Rashmi P. Gour, A.G.P. for respondent/State

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE &
R.G. AVACHAT, JJ.**

DATED : 21st JUNE, 2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard learned Counsel appearing for the
petitioner.

2. By order dated 21st December, 2018 simple notice was issued to respondent Nos. 3 and 5 making the same returnable on 22nd February, 2019. The grievance of the petitioner is of non compliance of the order of this Court dated 30th January, 2018 passed in Writ Petition No. 1918 of 2017, whereby the respondents-authorities were directed to take a decision on the issue raised by the petitioner before the authorities treating his suspension period as period of service.

3. Learned A.G.P. placed before us the communication/order dated 13th June, 2019. Perusal of the said order clearly shows that considering all aspects of the matter, State Government thought it fit to treat period of suspension of the petitioner, as period of service. The order also refers to payment of due and receivable amount to the petitioner against salary and emoluments. It also states about adjustment of suspension allowance paid to the petitioner. The order

is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. In view of the order, we may safely say that the order of this Court in writ petition is complied with in letter and spirit.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the order is passed in favour of the petitioner, who sought voluntarily retirement would be anxious to receive the amount actually so as to meet his financial needs. The request being justified one, we only direct the authorities that the order dated 13th June, 2019 be effected in actual disbursement to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible and not later than twelve (12) weeks from today.

5. With above directions, the petition is disposed of accordingly.

[R.G. AVACHAT, J.]

[PRASANNA B. VARALE, J.]