

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.12991 OF 2019

DIGAMBAR GOVINDRAO CHAKRADHAR ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
AND OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS

Mr. A.R. Tapse, Advocate for Petitioner
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, AGP for Respondent No. 1
Mr. S.B. Pulkundwar, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
ANIL S. KILOR, JJ.**

DATE : 17th OCTOBER, 2019

ORAL ORDER:

1. Mr. Pulkundwar, the learned Counsel for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 submits that prayer clause (B) and (C) cannot be considered, as they were subject matter of the earlier writ petition and the such claim is negated by the Court.
2. Mr. Tapse, the learned Counsel submits that the petition will only be restricted to prayer clause (D).
3. Mr. Pulkundwar, the learned Counsel submits that respondent would decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of three months.

4. The statement is accepted.
5. In view of the said statement, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[ANIL S.KILOR]
JUDGE

[S.V.GANGAPURWALA]
JUDGE

mta