

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY.
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

**932 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.16591 OF 2016
IN FAST/33930/2016 WITH CA/16606/2016 IN
FAST/33930/2016 WITH FA/1409/2017**

**KISHOR RAMBHAU PAWAR AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
BAPURAO RAMBHAU MAHADIK AND OTHER**

...

Advocate for Applicants : Shri M.K. Bhosle, Shri S.B. Solanke

Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 & 2 : Shri K.R. Doke,
Shri S.K. Doke

Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Shri A.S. Deshpande

...

CORAM : PR. BORA, J.

Dated: February 14, 2019

PER COURT :-

1. Heard the learned Counsel appearing for the respective parties. Delay of 441 days has occurred in filing the present appeal by the owner of the offending vehicle. Though the learned Counsel appearing for the original claimants has opposed for condoning the delay, for the reasons stated in the application, which according to me are just and sufficient, the delay of 441 days caused in filing the appeal is condoned. The appeal be registered in accordance with law. Civil Application for condonation of delay stands disposed of.

2. On registration of the appeal, issue notice to the

respondents. Learned Counsel appearing for the respondents waive notice for the respective respondents. Service complete.

3. List the present appeal along with First Appeal No.1409 of 2017 for further consideration on **05.03.2019**.

(PR. BORA, J.)