

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 13579 OF 2018

Vinayak S/o Balkrushna Kulkarni and Ors. ...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ...Respondents

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 13595 OF 2018

Arun S/o Murlidhar Kale and Ors. ...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and Ors. ...Respondents

Mr. A.N. Kakade, Advocate for Petitioners

Mr. S.B. Pulkundwar, Assistant Government Pleader for  
Respondent No. 1

Mr. P.P. Kothari, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND  
A. M. DHAVAL, JJ.**

**DATE : 24<sup>th</sup> APRIL, 2019**

**ORAL ORDER:**

1. We have heard Mr. Kakade, the learned Counsel for the  
Petitioners.

2. The dispute is with regard to the amount of compensation.

The petitioners are claiming pension on the basis of the pay scale of

Rs. 4000-100-6000 with Grade Pay Rs. 2400/- whereas the pension is temporarily fixed considering the pay scale of Rs. 3500-75-4590 with Grade Pay Rs. 1900/-.

3. The learned Counsel for the respondent No. 3 submits that the proposal is already forwarded to the respondent No.1 seeking guidance for fixing the pension amount. The said proposal is not yet decided by the respondent No. 1. The said proposal is forwarded on 17.07.2018.

4. In case the proposal seeking fixation of pension amount of the petitioner is pending with the respondent No.1 as contended by the learned Counsel for the respondent Nos. 2 and 3, the respondent No. 1 shall decide it in accordance with law expeditiously and preferably within a period of four months. Depending upon the decision taken, parties may take further steps.

5. As far as representation of the petitioners for time bound promotional pay scale is concerned, the respondent shall take decision upon it on its own merits within a period of four months.

6. The Writ Petitions are disposed of. No costs.

**[ A. M. DHAVAL ]**  
**JUDGE**

**[ S. V. GANGAPURWALA ]**  
**JUDGE**