

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.12312 OF 2019

LAXMIKANT DASHRATH TURATWAD ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
AND OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS

Mr. O.B. Boinwad, Advocate for Petitioner
Mr. S.B. Yawalkar, AGP for Respondents-State

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.12388 OF 2019

GAURAV GOVINDRAO GAJALWAD ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
AND OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS

Mr. O.B. Boinwad, Advocate for Petitioner
Mr. P.G. Borade, AGP for Respondents-State
Mr. S.B. Patil, Advocate for Respondent No. 2
Mr. B.B. Dahiphale, Advocate for Respondent No. 3

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.12390 OF 2019

MANGESH BALAJI MORE ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA
AND OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS

Mr. O.B. Boinwad, Advocate for Petitioner
Mr. K.B. Jadhavar, AGP for Respondents-State
Mr. S.B. Pulkundwar, Advocate for Respondent No. 2
Mr. B.B. Dahiphale, Advocate for Respondent No. 3

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
ANIL S. KILOR, JJ.**

DATE : 9th OCTOBER, 2019

ORAL ORDER:

1. The learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that validation proceedings are pending with the respondents since long time. This Court has already directed the Committee to decide the proceedings expeditiously in earlier writ petitions. The proceedings are not yet decided.
2. According to the learned Assistant Government Pleader, the proceedings are pending for vigilance.
3. The Committee ought to have decided the proceedings by now.
4. Considering the fact that proceedings are pending, we extend the time to decide validation proceedings by six months from today.
5. The petitioners shall appear before the Committee on 22nd October, 2019.

6. In case the petitioners are otherwise eligible, then the respondent Nos. 2 and 3 shall not restrain the petitioners from appearing for examination only on the ground that validation proceeding is pending.

7. The writ petitions are disposed of. No costs.

[ANIL S.KILOR]
JUDGE

[S.V.GANGAPURWALA]
JUDGE

mta