

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY.
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

WRIT PETITION NO. 792 OF 2018

Vaishali Vilasrao Nikam

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

... Respondents

....

Mr R. S. Pawar, Advocate for the petitioner
Mrs V. N. Patil – Jadhav, AGP for respondent/State
Respondent No. 4 served.

....

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
A. M. DHAVAL, JJ.**

DATE : 11TH JANUARY, 2019

ORAL ORDER:

1. Mr Pawar, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was the permanent employee and he is required to be absorbed as now it is submitted that the post on which he is working is not admissible. The management has already given the proposal for absorbing the petitioner on the vacant post (Page 34).
2. We have heard learned AGP.
3. The Dy. Director of Education, Nashik Division, Nashik shall decide the proposal submitted by the Institution for absorption

of the petitioner (Page 34, dt. 12.08.2016) on its own merits, expeditiously and preferably within a period of four months from today.

4. The writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[A. M. DHAVALA]
JUDGE

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA]
JUDGE

Punde