

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 11482 OF 2018

Sangh Dnyanoba Lokhande

.. **Petitioner**

Versus

Latur Municipal Corporation,
Through its Commissioner, Latur
and others

.. **Respondents**

Mr. S. V. Dixit, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. N. P. Patil Jamalpurkar, Advocate for
Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

Mr P. S. Patil, Addl. G. P. for Respondent No. 3.

CORAM: S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
A. M. DHAVALA, JJ.

DATE: 16th JANUARY, 2019

PER COURT :

1. The petitioner challenges the notice issued
by the Latur Municipal Corporation.

2. The learned counsel submits that the
construction of the petitioner exists since the
year – 1959. The petitioner after receipt of the
notice has given the detailed reply. The

petitioner is apprehending action by the Corporation.

3. Mr. N. P. Patil Jamalpurkar, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 1 and 2 supports the notice.

4. The notice appears to be as vague as it could be. The reply has to be given to the Corporation.

5. The Corporation shall consider the reply filed by the petitioner and thereafter shall issue appropriate notice to the petitioner. The petitioner may produce additional documents before the Corporation.

6. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of. No costs.

[A. M. DHAVAL, J.] [S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

marathe