

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

923 WRIT PETITION NO.793 OF 2017
WITH CA/3159/2017 IN WP/793/2017

SHAIKH SABANA PARVIN NIYAMATULLA
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Syed Masood Chand
AGP for Respondents No.1 and 2 : Mr. G. O. Wattamwar
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Ms. K. P. Mutatkar (Kale)
Advocate for Respondent No.4 : Mr. G. R. Syed.
...

**[CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH AND
SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, JJ.]**

DATE : 27th NOVEMBER, 2019.

PER COURT :

1. Heard learned counsel for appearing parties.
2. It appears to be the case of the petitioner that, he had worked till 2001 and subsequently got entangled in the prosecution and thus had been advised not to attend school functioning. Subsequently, while in 2015 she was acquitted, she had approached respondents, however she had been declined resumption on the ground that she had resigned way back in 1999.
3. Though learned counsel for petitioner purports to refer to a communication by Education Officer, it appears that lot of disputed

questions of fact arise. We are not inclined to entertain a petition in the circumstances. Alternate remedy as would be available as possible may have to be resorted. Petition is disposed of.

4. In view of the aforesaid, civil application for intervention is disposed of.

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI]
JUDGE

[SUNIL P.DESHMUKH]
JUDGE

vjg/-.