

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY.
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

WRIT PETITION NO. 12288 OF 2018

Bansi S/o. Pandharinath Kakade Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

.....

Mr P. A. Pisal, Advocate for the petitioner
Ms Preeti Diggikar, AGP for respondent/State
Mr A. R. Joshi, Advocate for respondent No. 4
Mr A. V. Hon, Advocate for respondents No. 5 & 6

.....

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 12293 OF 2018

Parashuram S/o. Rangnath Ganage Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

.....

Mr P. A. Pisal, Advocate for the petitioner
Ms Preeti Diggikar, AGP for respondent/State
Mr A. R. Joshi, Advocate for respondent No. 4
Mr A. V. Hon, Advocate for respondents No. 5 & 6

.....

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
A. M. DHAVAL, JJ.**

DATE : 21ST JANUARY, 2019

ORAL ORDER:

1. It is submitted that the Grievance Committee has been constituted by the respondent No. 4 – University. The grievance of the petitioner can be considered by the Grievance Committee. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has

already approached the Grievance Committee. In view of that, the Grievance Committee shall decide the application of the petitioner for grant leave encashment on its own merits after hearing the petitioner and the management expeditiously, preferably within a period of six months.

2. The writ petition is disposed of . No costs.

[A. M. DHAVAL]
JUDGE

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA]
JUDGE

Punde