

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.1524 OF 2019

1. Manjuba s/o Baban Thombre,
Age: 55 years, Occu: Service as
Civil Engineering Assistant,
R/o Premdan, D-10, HUDCO,
Ahmednagar
2. Tarachand s/o Baburao Rajale,
Age: 57 years, Occu: Service as
Civil Engineering Assistant,
R/o Kesar Pimpalgaon,
Tq. Pathardi, Dist. Ahmednagar
3. Arun s/o Ramchandra Vyavahare,
Age: 61 years, Occu: Pensioner,
R/o C/o Amol General Stores,
Mukindpur, Newasa Phata,
Tq. Newasa, Dist. Ahmednagar
4. Subhash s/o Genu Wakchaure,
Age: 59 years, Occu: Pensioner,
R/o. Narendra Nagar, Bhenda (Bk.)
5. Gautam s/o Bajirao Shinde,
Age: 59 years, Occu: Pensioner,
R/o. Irrigation Colony, Ghulewadi,
Tq. Sangamner, Dist. Ahmednagar

..PETITIONERS

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through the Secretary to the
Government of Maharashtra in
Water Resources Department,
Mantralaya, Fort, Mumbai - 32
2. The Superintending Engineer & Director,
Irrigation Research & Development
Directorate, Pune

(2)

3. The Divisional Commissioner
(Surplus Cell),
Nashik Division, Nashik
4. The Executive Engineer,
Mula Irrigation Division,
Ahmednagar
5. The Superintending Engineer
and Administrator, Command
Area Development Authority,
Nashik
6. The Superintending Engineer
& Administrator, Command
Area Development Authority,
Ahmednagar

..RESPONDENTS

Mr R. K. Temkar, Advocate for petitioners;
Ms R. P. Gour, A.G.P. for respondents

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE
AND
NITIN W. SAMBRE, JJ.**

DATE : 19th March, 2019

ORAL ORDER:

Heard learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners.

2. A limited grievance is raised in the petition is, in spite of an order passed by the Administrative Tribunal and the judgment of the Division Bench delivered at Nagpur Bench of this Court and in spite of a representation submitted to respondent No.5 on 29th August, 2018, apprising the authority about the decision dated 8th June, 2015 of the Division Bench at Nagpur Bench, till date of filing of the petition, the said

(3)

representation is not decided. As such, the petitioner left with no choice but to approach this Court.

3. This being a limited grievance, in our opinion, the petition can be disposed at the admission stage with directions.

4. Issue notice to respondents, made returnable forthwith.

5. Learned Asstt. Govt. Pleader waives service of notice for all the respondents.

6. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.5 to decide the represent dated 29th August, 2018, as expeditiously as possible and not later than eight weeks from the date of receipt of the order of this Court, needless to state on its own merits.

(NITIN W. SAMBRE, J.)

(PRASANNA B. VARALE, J.)

sjk