

drp

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.13372 OF 2017

Vyankat Pandharinath Mundhe and Others PETITIONERS

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and Others RESPONDENTS

.....

Mr. R. D. Biradar, Advocate for the petitioners
Mr. S. S. Dande, AGP for respondent - State
Mr. A. S. Shejwal, Advocate for respondent No. 5
Mr. V. D. Gunale, Advocate for respondent No. 7

.....

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.14450 OF 2017

Dhondiram Laxman Dhotre PETITIONER

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and Others RESPONDENTS

.....

Mr. R. D. Biradar, Advocate for the petitioners
Mr. S. S. Dande, AGP for respondent - State
Mr. A. S. Shejwal, Advocate for respondent No. 5
Mr. V. D. Gunale, Advocate for respondent No. 6

.....

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.4117 OF 2017

Vyankat Pandharinath Mundhe and Others PETITIONERS

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and Others RESPONDENTS

.....
Mr. R. D. Biradar h/f Mr. S. S. Thombre, Advocate for petitioners
Mr. S. S. Dande, AGP for respondent - State
.....

**[CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH AND
S. M. GAVHANE, JJ.]**

DATE : 18th OCTOBER, 2019

ORDER :

1. Heard learned advocates for the parties.
2. Learned advocates state that petitioners and respondents – management and headmaster have entered into terms of settlement and compromise, which have been reduced into writing and have been got verified before Registrar (Judicial) of this court. They further submit that the terms of settlement are legal and neither opposed to any public policy nor are forbidden by any law.
3. Learned advocates submit that the terms of settlement have been explained to the parties in vernacular and they have understood the same and accepted in all solemnity and seriousness and have no dispute about the same in any way and put their respective signatures on the compromise/settlement terms. Learned advocates state that the terms of compromise do not in any way put other respondents to any inconvenience or prejudice.

4. The parties were before Registrar (Judicial) and are stated to be present before this court. Learned advocates have identified their respective clients before the Registrar (Judicial).

5. In view of aforesaid, we deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition in terms of settlement arrived at between the petitioners on one hand and respondents – management and headmaster on the other.

6. Parties to the settlement would abide by the terms.

7. Writ petitions stand disposed of accordingly. Concerned authorities may process the cases of parties to terms of settlement on merits earnestly, as early as possible, preferably within a period of six weeks from the date of submission.

[S. M. GAVHANE]
JUDGE

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE