

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

912 WRIT PETITION NO.13443 OF 2019

SHOBHA RAJENDRA BANSODE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Shri Karkare S.D.
AGP for Respondents 1 to 3 : Shri Munde S.W.

...
CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.
Dated: November 06, 2019

...
PER COURT :-

1. The petitioner is aggrieved by the mutation entry carried out by the Tahsildar under Rule 10 of the Maharashtra Land Revenue Record of Rights and Registers (Preparation and Maintenance), Rules 1971. However, it has not been challenged in this petition.

2. Now, Shri Karkare, learned Advocate clarifies that the order dated 13.8.2013, passed by the Divisional Commissioner, Aurangabad has been challenged. He submits that the impugned order is not on record in the petition paper book. He moved an application under the Right to Information Act, dated 15.7.2019, and that is still not decided. He prays for a decision on the said application.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

2- WRIT PETITION NO.13443 OF 2019

3. The learned AGP submits that he would convey to the Divisional Commissioner, Aurangabad to look into the pending application and pass appropriate orders as the pending application is only for seeking certified copies.

4. Considering the statement of the learned AGP, this petition is disposed off.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...