

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**1055 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.12018 OF 2019
IN FA/5190/2017**

**RAMCHANDRA LAXMAN PATIL (DIED) THR LRS MANGAL AND ORS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS**

...

Advocate for Applicants : Mr. Patil Laxmikant C.

AGP for Respondents/State : Mr. R.B. Bagul

Advocate for Respondent No.2 & 3 : Mr. A.M. Gaikwad

...

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.

DATE : 4th OCTOBER, 2019.

ORDER :

. Present application has been filed by the legal representatives of original claimant for bringing them on record. It can be termed as suo moto application.

2. Taking into consideration the fact that the appeal has been filed by the acquiring body, the L.A.R. was decided on 05.08.2014 and the original claimant appears to have expired on 06.09.2016, in fact, it was the duty of the present applicants to inform the said fact to their Advocate who would have in turn made that statement at the time of delay condonation application. But when legal representatives are suo moto filing the

application, there is no question of delay. If there is any delay, same stands condoned.

3. Civil Application stands allowed.

4. Appellant to carry out amendment in the title clause within a period of 14 days.

(SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.)

SCM