

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**176 WRIT PETITION NO.10445 OF 2019
WITH CA/10979/2019 IN WP/10445/2019**

VISHNUPANTH EKNATH KHANDAGALE ..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS ..RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. Ajeet B. Kale, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Rahul R. Karpe, Advocate for the Applicant in
CA/10979/2019.

Mr. S. B. Yawalkar, AGP for Respondents-State.

...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
ANIL S. KILOR, JJ.**

DATED : 09th SEPTEMBER, 2019.

PER COURT:-

1. We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned A.G.P. and learned counsel for intervenor.

2. It transpires that now the powers vest with the Director of Marketing to decide about the disqualification. The petitioner had approached the District Deputy Registrar, as at the relevant time the circular in question was not issued.

3. Mr. Kale, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner would immediately file an application for

disqualification of the members with the Director of Marketing. Under the Act, he has to decide the same within a period of 30 days.

4. The petitioner may file an application with Director of Marketing with regard to his claim for disqualification of members. On receipt of the application, Director of Marketing shall decide the same in accordance with law and Rules and within the time stipulated in the Act and Rules.

5. Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

6. In view of disposal of writ petition, present civil application is disposed of.

(ANIL S. KILOR)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE