

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 11602 OF 2019**

NANASAHEB EKNATH BADE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Undre Vikram S.  
AGP for Respondents No. 1 & 2 : Mr. V. M. Kagne

...

**WRIT PETITION NO.11603 OF 2019**

NANASAHEB EKNATH BADE  
VERSUS  
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Undre Vikram S.  
AGP for Respondents No. 1 & 2 : Mr. V. M. Kagne

...

**CORAM: S. V. GANGAPURWALA &**  
**SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, JJ.**

**DATE: 14<sup>th</sup> OCTOBER, 2019**

**PER COURT:**

1. We have heard Mr. Undre, learned counsel for the petitioners.

2. We had asked the learned A.G.P. to take instructions about the objections of the petitioner. The learned A.G.P., on instructions,

submits that objections were received with regard to the measurements. The parties were called for hearing with regard to the consolidation of the scheme and decision. If decision is not taken, then the authority will take decision within two (02) months and depending upon the decision the further course of action would be undertaken.

3. The said statement is accepted.

4. In case, the respondents do not proceed for further measurements or if decision is adverse to the interest of the petitioners then the petitioners would have right to agitate against the same.

5. Writ Petitions stand disposed of accordingly.

No costs.

[SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.] [S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

marathe