

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 677 OF 2019
IN WP/5911/2019

Sumit Prabhakar Pethkar

...Petitioner

VERSUS

The State of Maharashtra and others

...Respondents

Mr. D.R. Irale Patil, Advocate for petitioner

CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE

AND

AVINASH G. GHAROTE, JJ.

DATE : 10th October, 2019

ORAL ORDER:

1. Mr. Irale Patil, learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner, fairly submitted that post filing of the petition, respondent No.3 has decided the proposal and the petitioner is willing to challenge that decision as the petitioner is aggrieved by the said decision.

2. In view of the above statement of learned Counsel Mr. Irale Patil for the petitioner, nothing survives in the Contempt Petition. The Contempt Petition is accordingly disposed of.

3. Needless to state that if the petitioner is aggrieved by the decision, he may avail the appropriate remedies to challenge the decision, as available in law and if so advised.

(AVINASH G. GHAROTE)
JUDGE

(PRASANNA B. VARALE)
JUDGE

Madkar