

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY.
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

916 FIRST APPEAL NO.936 OF 2015

**THE GENERAL MANAGER B.E.S.T. MUNICIPAL BUS
CORPORATION MUMBAI**

VERSUS

SAVITA DIPAK NAGARE AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Appellant : Smt Namita M. Mestry,
i/b. Navdeep Vora And Association And A R Borulkar

Advocate for Respondents No.1 to 4 : Shri R.K. Temkar

...

CORAM : P.R. BORA, J.

Dated: January 11, 2019

PER COURT :

1. The parties to the present appeal have tendered across the bar the consent terms and have prayed for disposing of the present appeal in terms of the said compromise.

2. The consent terms are taken on record and marked as 'X' for the purpose of identification. Both the parties along with their respective Counsel are present before the Court. The original claimants have verified the terms of the compromise as well as their signatures over the consent terms. The learned Counsel appearing for the original claimants has certified that, the compromise being entered into is in the interest of minor also.

3. In view of the submissions so made, the matter stands settled in terms of the consent terms filed on record. The

Award be drawn accordingly. Consent terms shall form part of the Award.

4. The appellant is entitled for the refund of the Court fee in accordance with law as well as the statutory amount deposited by the appellant while filing the appeal.

(**PR. BORA, J.**)

...