

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO.765 OF 2018
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.14670 OF 2017**

Mankawati Shikshan Sanstha
Chinchwan, At Chinchwan,
Tq. Wadwani, Dist. Beed
Through its President,
Balaji s/o Mahipatrao Kotule,
Age: 37 years, Occ: Service,
R/o. Chinchwan, Tq. Wadwani,
Dist. Beed.

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. The State of Maharashtra
Through its Secretary,
Vandana Krishna, Department
of School Education,
Mantralaya, Mumbai.

2. The Scrutiny Committee
(Self Finance School Permission)
Aurangabad Region, Aurangabad
Through its Member Secretary
& Deputy Education Officer
(Secondary), Aurangabad.

..RESPONDENTS

Mr D.B. Pokale, Advocate for petitioner;
Ms. R.P. Gour, A.G.P. for respondent/State

**CORAM : PRASANNA B. VARALE
AND
S.M.GAVHANE, JJ.**

DATE : 1st MARCH, 2019

ORAL ORDER :

Heard learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner.

2. In response to the notice of this Court dated 22nd October, 2018, affidavit in reply is filed by Mr. Bhagwan Shridharrao Sonawane, working as Education Officer (Secondary), Zilla Parishad, Beed on behalf of respondent No.2. A statement is made in the affidavit in reply that the Government has taken a decision on the proposal of the petitioner on 3rd November, 2018 and thereby granted permission to the petitioner to run 11th and 12th Standards of Marathi medium on certain terms and conditions. The petitioner is also communicated the decision through Deputy Director of Education, Aurangabad. Copies of these documents, namely, Government Resolution dated 3rd November, 2018 and communication dated 14th February, 2019 are also placed on record. Further statement is made in the affidavit reply that though there is some delay, delay caused due to procedural difficulties and

(3)

same is purely unintentional and for *bona fide* reasons. The deponent also tendered his unconditional apology for the delay. The apology is accepted by this Court.

3. Learned Counsel for the petitioner also fairly submitted that the order of this Court is complied with and grievance of the petitioner no more survives. In view of this fact, nothing survives in the petition and same is accordingly disposed of.

(**S.M.GAVHANE**)
JUDGE

(**PRASANNA B. VARALE**)
JUDGE

Tupe