

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
74 WRIT PETITION NO.10102 OF 2019**

VINOD VISHNU JADHAV
VERSUS
THE STATE BANK OF INDIA RACPC BRANCH

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Awasarmol Rahul O.
Advocate for Respondent : Mr. Abhijit Choudhari, h/f Mr. Patil Vaibhav R.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : 13/08/2019

PER COURT :

1 We have heard Mr. Awasarmol, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Choudhari, learned counsel for the respondent.

2. The petitioner, it appears, is assailing the notice dated 09.07.2019 wherein 30 days notice is given to pay the amount, else the property would be placed in auction.

3. If any action is taken under section 13(4) of the Secularization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002, the petitioner has a remedy before the Debt Recovery Tribunal. The petitioner is at liberty to avail the said remedy.

4. In the light of the above, the writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail the alternate remedy. All contentions are kept open.

(MANGESH S. PATIL, J.)
mkd

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)