

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 10217 OF 2019

**NITIN SHRINIWAS JOGLEKAR AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
MILIND GOVIND KSHIRSAGAR AND ANOTHER**

...

Advocate for the Petitioners : Shri V. A. Shastry h/f.
Shri S. V. Natu

Advocate for Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 : Shri P. S.
Shendurnikar

...

CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.

DATED : 19th AUGUST, 2019.

...

PER COURT :

1. This matter was heard for quite some time.
2. The learned Advocate for the petitioners sought a pass over to take instructions.
3. After the matter was called out, the learned Advocate for the petitioners submits that the petitioners desire to withdraw this petition with liberty to examine the author of the notice dated 16/05/2005 (Shri M. N. Phadke). In the event, the petitioners are unable to examine Shri Phadke, they would

resort to a remedy as may be permissible in law.

4. In view of the above, this petition is disposed off as withdrawn.

5. The petitioners would be at liberty to examine Shri Phadke. If they are unable to examine the said witness and if they resort to a remedy as may be permissible in law, the competent Court would consider the same on its own merits.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

shp/-