

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

1016 WRIT PETITION NO.10709 OF 2019

CHAKRADHAR HANVANTRAO AMBHORE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

1017 WRIT PETITION NO.10777 OF 2019

NARESH VASANT SARPALE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

1018 WRIT PETITION NO.10778 OF 2019

MANOJ PRATAPSING CHANDEL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

1019 WRIT PETITION NO.10779 OF 2019

RUPESH RAM CHAUDHARI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

1020 WRIT PETITION NO.10780 OF 2019

GANESH BHAGWAN KUHIRE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

1021 WRIT PETITION NO.10781 OF 2019

RAJU QADAR SHAIKH
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

1022 WRIT PETITION NO.10782 OF 2019

DIPAK VISHNU SABLE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

1023 WRIT PETITION NO.10783 OF 2019

PRAVIN LAXMAN BHALERAO
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

1024 WRIT PETITION NO.10784 OF 2019

KAILASH PRALHAD CHINCHANE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

1025 WRIT PETITION NO.10785 OF 2019
WITH WP/15031/2019 WITH WP/15032/2019 WITH
WP/15033/2019 WITH WP/15034/2019 WITH
WP/15035/2019 WITH WP/15036/2019 WITH
WP/15037/2019 WITH WP/15038/2019 WITH
WP/15039/2019 WITH WP/15040/2019 WITH
WP/15041/2019 WITH WP/15042/2019 WITH
WP/15043/2019 WITH WP/15044/2019

GOPAL SUBHASH MORE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

...

Mr. Promod A. Kulkarni, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. P.G. Borade, Advocate for the respondent/State.
Mr. A.S. Bajaj, learned Counsel for respondent No.2.

...

CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
AVINASH G. GHAROTE, JJ.

DATE : 13 December 2019.

PER COURT :-

. We have heard Mr. Kulkarni, learned Counsel for the petitioners. Against termination of their contract with the GTL, the petitioners have already approached to the Labour Court. The matter is *sub judice* before the Labour Court.

2. The another contention of the petitioners is that their representations are not yet decided. Mr. Bajaj, learned Counsel for respondent No.2 submits that the representations filed by the petitioners have been decided on the basis of the affidavits submitted. Respondent No.2 shall communicate the decision on the representations to the petitioners.

3. Writ Petitions are accordingly disposed of. No costs.

(AVINASH G. GHAROTE, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)

VD_Dhirde