

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 9775 OF 2019
VIJAYKUMAR RUPLA PAWAR AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Patil Nileshsingh J
AGP for Respondents No. 1 & 2 : Mr. S. G. Karlekar
Advocate for Respondents No. 3 & 4 :
Mr. D. B. Pawar

...

CORAM: S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
ANIL S. KILOR, JJ.

DATE: 01st OCTOBER, 2019

PER COURT:

1. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the respondents are not deciding the proposals of the petitioners for sanction of loan.
2. The proposals are filed in the year - 2011. What is the policy as on the date is not clear.
3. In addition, the petitioners may file fresh proposals which would be attended to by the respondents and the decision shall be taken upon them preferably within a period of six (06) months from the date of filing of the fresh proposals.
4. Writ Petition stands disposed of accordingly. No costs.

[ANIL S. KILOR, J.] [S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]
marathe