

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 9550 OF 2018

PRIYADARSHANI SAHKARI SOOTGIRNI LTD SHIRPUR,
THROUGH ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR
FAKIRA DAGA PATIL
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. P. M. Shah, Senior
Counsel i/b. Mr. Bagul D.S.
Addl.G.P. for Respondents No. 1 to 4 :
Mr. P. S. Patil
Advocate for Intervenor : Mr. M. S. Deshmukh h/f.
Mr. U. B. Gite

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 14591 OF 2018

...

CORAM: S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
A. M. DHAVALA, JJ.

DATE: 24th JANUARY, 2019

PER COURT :

1. The grievance of the petitioner is that the proposals submitted by the petitioner on 06.01.2012 and 09.05.2018 for sale of landed property of the society have not been decided.
2. Mr. Patil, learned Additional Government Pleader for the respondents submits that the subsequent application filed by the petitioner is

not traceable. In the earlier application filed by the petitioner there are deficiencies.

3. Mr. Deshmukh, learned counsel appears for the intervenor and submits that he had filed complaint as the society has earlier sold ten landed properties illegally.

4. The petitioner may file fresh application seeking permission to sell the property. In case such an application is received by the Authority, then the Authority shall take decision upon it in accordance with law.

5. The petitioner and the interested parties may raise their contentions before the Authority where the application for permission to sell the property is filed. The same would be considered by the Authority on its own merits.

6. If such an application is filed, then the Authority shall decide it within a period of six (6) months from the date of receipt of the application.

7. Writ Petition accordingly stands disposed of.

No costs.

8. In view of disposal of writ petition, civil application also stands disposed of.

[A. M. DHAVAL, J.] [S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

marathe