

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

950 WRIT PETITION NO.9070 OF 2019

SANJAY TUKARAM PARDESHI

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS ..RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. Shailendra S. Kulkarni, Advocate for the
Petitioner.

Mr. P. G. Borade, AGP for Respondents-State.

...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATED : 24th JULY, 2019.

PER COURT:-

1. The petitioner is challenging the election of respondent no.6.

2. The learned counsel submits that the caste claim of the husband of respondent no.6 is invalidated and this Court has also dismissed the writ petition. The respondent no.6 does not belong to OBC category. More over, there were illegalities in the conduct of the election. The petitioner has raised objection, the same was not considered.

3. The caste claim of respondent no.6 is not invalidated. Even according to the provision of

Maharashtra Village Panchayat Act and Rules, the respondent no.6 has time to produce the validity certificate.

4. If at all the election of respondent no.6 is required to be assailed, the petitioner has remedy under the provisions of Maharashtra Village Panchayat Act.

5. In view of the above, writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

(MANGESH S. PATIL)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE