

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY.
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

WRIT PETITION NO. 9025 OF 2018

Sharad Rambhau Yadav

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.... Respondents

.....

Shri. Vinayak H. Solanke, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms Preeti Diggikar, AGP for respondent/State

Shri. S. S. Gangakhedkar, Advocate for respondents No. 6 & 7

.....

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
A. M. DHAVAL, JJ.**

DATE : 04TH FEBRUARY, 2019

ORAL ORDER:

. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondent.

2. Mr Gangakhedkar, learned counsel for the Municipal Council submits that, the work for which the petitioner is interested has been allotted to the other tenderer and he has completed the work.

3. In light of that, the relief claimed by the petitioner now cannot be considered and granted.

4. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

[A. M. DHAVALA]
JUDGE

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA]
JUDGE

Punde