

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

10 WRIT PETITION NO. 8809 OF 2019

Avinash Pandit Chavan

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.. Respondents

Smt. A. R. Rathod, Advocate for Petitioner.

Mr. P. G. Borade, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

Mr. A. B. Kharosekar, Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5.

WITH

41 WRIT PETITION NO. 8888 OF 2019

Kavita Prabhat Chavan

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.. Respondents

Smt. A. R. Rathod, Advocate for Petitioner.

Mr. P. G. Borade, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

Mr. A. B. Kharosekar, Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
 MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ**

DATED : 22nd JULY, 2019.

PER COURT:-

1. The learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the proposals seeking approval to the appointment of the petitioners are pending with respondent No. 2. The same is not yet decided.

2. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioners, learned Counsel for respondents and learned AGP

3. In case, the proposals seeking approval to the appointment of the petitioners are pending with respondent No. 2, then respondent No. 2 shall take decision upon it on its own merits, in accordance with law, expeditiously and preferably within a period of six (06) months from today.

4. Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

(MANGESH S. PATIL)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE

PS.B.