

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**977 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.11462 OF 2016
IN FAST/22141/2016
WITH CA/11463/2016 IN FAST/22138/2016**

**SANJAY BABUAPPA RAKATE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA**

...

Advocate for Applicants : Absent.
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. A.M. Gaikwad
Advocate for Respondents/State : Mr. A.M. Phule

...

CORAM : SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.

DATE : 06th SEPTEMBER, 2019.

ORDER :

. None for the applicants.

2. Perused the order dated 25.09.2017 in which it was directed that in case of service of notice to respondent No.3 by private mode, affidavit was to be filed. At present, respondent No.3 is represented by advocate. Under such circumstance, no necessity to file the affidavit.

3. It appears that the learned Advocate appearing for the applicants is consistently absent and had not taken positive steps, so that the applications could not proceed. Hence, civil applications stand dismissed in default.

(SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, J.)