

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

42 WRIT PETITION NO.8501 OF 2019

SHRIPAD VINAYAK THOMBRE AND ANOTHER
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. S.S. Kazi
AGP for Respondents/State : Mr. A.R. Kale

...

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA &
MANGESH S. PATIL, JJ.**

DATE : 15.07.2019

P.C. :-

We have heard Mr. Kazi the learned advocate for the petitioner and the learned A.G.P. The petitioner has an alternate remedy available. There would be disputed questions of fact. It would not be possible to go into the disputed questions of fact in the writ jurisdiction. Moreover, as the petitioner has an alternate remedy, we dispose of the writ petition with liberty to the petitioner to avail the alternate remedy. All contentions kept open. Writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[MANGESH S. PATIL, J.]

[S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]