

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 8459 OF 2019

Milind Prakash Patil

.. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.. Respondents

Shri H. D. Deshmukh, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mrs. Getta L. Deshpande, A.G.P. for the Respondent No. 1.

Shri Rakesh N. Jain, Advocate for the Respondent No. 2.

Shri Shantaram R. Dheple, Advocate for the Respondent No. 3.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
AVINASH G. GHAROTE, JJ.**

DATE : 12TH DECEMBER 2019.

FINAL ORDER :

. Mr. Deshmukh, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, the petitioner is transferred from the respondent No. 3 to the respondent No. 2.

2. According to the learned counsel for the respondent No. 3, the petitioner is not relieved by the respondent No. 2.

3. According to the learned counsel for the respondent No. 2, there is no vacancy in non PESA area with the respondent No. 2. The learned counsel for the respondent No. 2 on instructions submits that, as and when vacancy would arise in non PESA area, the claim of the petitioner would be considered.

4. Said statement made by the learned counsel for the respondent No. 2 is accepted. In view of the statement made, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[AVINASH G. GHAROTE, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/Dec. 19