

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.8435 OF 2019.

Prabhakar s/o Sudhakar Jadhav

Petitioner

versus

Babita Sadashiv Jadhav & others

Respondents.

Mr. P.D. Bachate advocate for the petitioner

Mr. V.D. Salunke h/f Mr. P.S.Gaikwad advocate for respondent No.1

...

CORAM : **RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.**

Date: August 1st, 2019

...

PER COURT :-

1 This matter was heard for some time.

2 The learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that, he desires to withdraw the petition and he would lead evidence at the appropriate stage, in support of his pleadings and the Trial Court may consider the pleadings and the evidence.

3 The learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the original plaintiff submits that, it is the petitioner, who has invited the Impugned order. He has a right to protect his sale-deed and if he leads proper evidence, in support of his pleadings, the Trial Court would consider the pleadings and the probative value of the evidence brought on record by him.

4 Considering the above, this petition is disposed off.

5 The petitioner is at liberty to lead evidence on the pleadings set-out in the written statement and the Trial Court would consider the issues cast in the light of the pleadings of the parties and in accordance with the law cited.

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J)

vbd