

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

66 WRIT PETITION NO.8068 OF 2018

BALAJI MAROTIRAO BODKE

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER..RESPONDENTS

...

Mrs. Minakshi L. Sangeet, Advocate for the
Petitioner.

Mr. P. S. Patil, AGP for Respondents-State.

...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
A. M. DHAVALA, JJ.**

DATED : 14th MARCH, 2019.

PER COURT:-

1. Mrs. Sangeet, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Tribunal failed to consider that the petitioner would be entitled to the benefit of the Government Resolution dated 29.05.2010 and would be entitled for the concession of two marks. The petitioner has secured 88 marks. The petitioner would come within the purview of the bench mark if two concessional marks are given.

2. The learned A.G.P. submits that the last person appointed from open part-time employee category is having 96 marks.

3. In view of the above, considering the case of the petitioner either way the petitioner would

be much below the person who has been selected on the post of part-time employee category.

4. In light of that, no case for interference is made out. Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

(A. M. DHAVALA)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE

Devendra/March-19